

Rubric for Evaluating PhD Dissertation Defense

Candidate's Name:	Date of Defense:	
Thesis Title:		

Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Overall quality presentation	□ Poorly organized	□ Clearly organized	□ Well organized
overall quality presentation	□ Poor presentation	□ Clear presentation	□ Professional presentation
	□ Poor communication skills	□ Good communication skills	□ Excellent communication skills
☐ Attribute not applicable	\Box Slides and handouts difficult to read	□ Slides and handouts clear	\Box Slides and handouts outstanding
Overall breadth of	Presentation unacceptable	□ Presentation acceptable	□ Presentation superior
knowledge	Presentation reveals critical weaknesses in depth of knowledge	Presentation reveals some depth of knowledge in subject matter	Presentation reveals exceptional depth of subject knowledge
☐ Attribute not applicable	in subject matter	Presentation reveals above average critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals well developed critical thinking skills
	developed critical thinking skills	Presentation reveals the ability to draw from knowledge in several disciplines	Presentation reveals the ability to interconnect and extend knowledge from multiple disciplines
	□ Responses are incomplete	□ Responses are complete	□ Responses are eloquent
Quality of response to questions	□ Arguments are poorly presented	□ Arguments are well organized	□ Arguments are skillfully presented
••••••	Respondent exhibits lack of knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits adequate knowledge in subject area	Respondent exhibits superior knowledge in subject area
☐ Attribute not applicable	□ Responses do not meet level expected of a Ph.D. graduate	□ Responses meet level expected of a Ph.D. graduate	□ Responses exceed level expected of a Ph.D. graduate

(To be completed by each committee member. Please check boxes for all evaluation criteria that you feel are appropriate within each attribute category)



Attribute	Does Not Meet Expectations	Meets Expectations	Exceeds Expectations
Use of communication aids	Communication aids are poorly prepared	Communication aids contribute to the quality of the presentation	Communication aids enhance the presentation
☐ Attribute not applicable	 Too much information included Listeners are confused Communication aids are used inappropriately 	 Appropriate information is included Listeners can easily follow the presentation Some material is not supported by communication aids 	 Details are minimized so major points stand out Information is organized to maximize audience understanding Reliance on communication aids is minimal

Confidential Comments:

 Name : ______
 Signature: ______
 Date: ______