**Appendix C5**

**PhD Thesis Evaluation Form**

**(By External Examiner)**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **General information** | |
| **Title of PhD Thesis** |  |
| **Name of the Scholar** |  |
| **Scholar ID** |  |
| **Name, Designation and Institutional affiliation of the Examiner** |  |

**RECOMMENDATION**

***- Please mark one box only and refer to Page 2 for the required Merit Criteria Scores –***

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **PASS** | | | | |
| ❑ | | The candidate should be awarded the degree without requirement of revision, further examination or modification (minor corrections and typographical errors only). | | |
| ❑ | | The candidate should be awarded the degree subject to minor nominated revisions being completed to the satisfaction of the Dean and the Principal Supervisor (see attached report). | | |
| ❑ | | The candidate should be awarded the degree following completion of the major nominated revisions to the satisfaction of the Dean and the Principal Supervisor (see attached report). | | |
| **RE-SUBMIT** | | | | |
| ❑ | | The thesis be RE-SUBMITTED within the stipulated time for examination after completing the required extra work and revisions indicated in examiner’s report. (A thesis which must be re-submitted requires alterations of such scale, complexity and/or conceptual significance that their adequacy should be appraised again by an external expert/s).  ***- Please indicate if you are willing to re-examine this thesis*** *-* | | |
| ❑ | I am willing to re-examine this thesis. | | ❑ | I am NOT willing to re-examine this thesis. |
| **FAIL** | | | | |
| ❑ | | The thesis be FAILED and the scholar NOT be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy and NOT be permitted to resubmit the thesis in a revised form. | | |

**Please complete the following Merit Criteria Scores for this thesis on six criteria for the award of a Doctorate of Philosophy from the University of Management and Technology, Lahore, Pakistan.**

**Please indicate your scores next to each criterion *(Refer Merit Criteria Scoring Key given below)***

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **SCORE** | **CRITERIA FOR THE AWARD OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY BASED ON THIS THESIS** |
|  | The thesis as a whole is a substantial and original contribution to the existing body of knowledge in the respective discipline / subject. |
|  | The scholar demonstrates familiarity with, and understanding of, the relevant body of knowledge and literature. |
|  | The thesis provides a comprehensive synthesis of the research work done on the selected topic. |
|  | The methodological techniques used are appropriate to the subject matter and are properly applied. |
|  | The data has been analyzed using the most suitable analyses, and results are reported properly (linking them with objectives/Research questions / hypotheses). |
| **SCORE** | **CRITERION FOR ADDITIONAL EXAMINABLE COMPONENT/S FOR AWARD OF DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY – (IF APPLICABLE)** |
|  | Additional examinable components demonstrate technical accomplishment and imaginative resource and/or advanced technical and interpretative accomplishment (as appropriate) |

***Merit Criteria Scores Key***

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **SCORE** | **MERIT CRITERIA** | **DESCRIPTION** |
| **1** | **Exceptional** | Of the highest merit, at the forefront of international PhDs in the field. |
| **2** | **Excellent** | Strongly competitive at international levels. |
| **3** | **Very good** | An interesting, sound and compelling thesis. |
| **4** | **Good** | A sound thesis but lacks a compelling element in some respect. |
| **5** | **Fair** | The thesis has potential but requires major revisions. |
| **6** | **Flawed** | The thesis does not meet the required standard for the award of PhD |

***Please attach your report (minimum length – 1 page).***□ I confirm that I have no relation with PhD scholar or there is no actual or perceived conflict of interest arising from my examination of this thesis.

Name/Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **General information** | |
| **Title of PhD Thesis** |  |
| **Name of the Scholar** |  |
| **Scholar ID** |  |
| **Name, Designation and Institutional Affiliation of the Examiner** |  |

1. **Introduction and Research Objectives**

Consideration should be given to: the suitability &, relevance of the background material and theoretical framework for the research in the Introduction Chapter; significance of research objectives and to what extent they have been achieved

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Suggestions for improvement (if any):**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **Literature Review:**

*whether the scholar has provided a synthesis of relevant and up to date researches (International & indigenous) in the Literature Review Chapter*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Suggestions for improvement (if any):**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **Research methodology:**

*Consideration should be given to the methodological technique/s of research used and the extent of work involved in terms of fieldwork, experimentation, interviews, library research and so forth. Consideration should also be given to the authenticity of assessment procedures being used and ethical considerations.*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Suggestions for improvement (if any):**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **Analysis of Data:**

*Comments should be given on the candidate’s ability to: apply appropriate principles and practices to the research data; analyses research data using appropriate techniques/analyses; to report results in a coherent manner and relate them to the objectives, Research questions/ research hypotheses.*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Suggestions for improvement (if any):**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **Significance /Implications of the findings:**

*Consideration should be given to the extent to which the objectives of the research have been achieved and in what way the findings are beneficial in terms of adding to the existing body of knowledge & policy making etc.*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **References**

*Consideration should be given to up-to-date, professionally legitimate and peer reviewed sources. The format of listing citations and references according to APA or any other format adopted by the field/faculty.*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**OVERALL STYLE AND ORGANIZATION**

1. **Suitability of the title:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **Presentation:**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

1. **Mechanical aspect (e.g. typos, grammar, spelling, numbering, punctuation)**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**Suggestions for improvement (if any):**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

Name/Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_